

▶ Lansdowne IB Elementary School



A Message from the Principal

Dear Lansdowne Elementary School families:

This is the third School Progress Report for Lansdowne Elementary. We are sending this to you so that you may be fully informed about our students' academic progress, our staff and our school environment.

Lansdowne is a well-established school that works diligently towards establishing a foundation of learning. During the 2008-2009 school year, we earned authorization for the International Baccalaureate (IB) Programme. All IB World Schools share a common philosophy: a commitment to high quality, challenging international education. The goals of the Primary IB Programme tie in perfectly with those of Lansdowne Elementary and center around inquiry learning and the development of critical thinkers. Our students undertake in-depth exploration of issues and themes of universal significance.

The 2009-2010 school year was a successful one for Lansdowne. On the End-of-Grade tests, we achieved overall Expected Growth, with 87 percent of our students performing at or above grade level in math and 80.4 percent in reading. While we did not make Adequate Yearly Progress, the standard set for schools by the No Child Left Behind Act, we were successful in meeting 20 of our 21 targets, or 95 percent. This is evidence of our continued focus on proven, research-based teaching strategies. These strategies include using technology in the classroom through the use of interactive white boards, introducing the hands-on Math Investigations program and emphasizing the inquiry approach to learning.

The staff and students have worked diligently and made great strides in achieving such excellent results, but we continue to strive for even greater academic progress. At Lansdowne, we employ targeted training programs to enhance the teaching skills of our faculty. Courses are

(Principal's message continued on next page)

2009-2010 KEY FACTS

Data effective as of spring 2010

Grades

K - 5

Lansdowne IB Elementary School is a partial International Baccalaureate magnet.

School Population

- Students: **555**
- Classroom Teachers: **30**
- Support Staff:
 - 1 Assistant Principal
 - 1 English as a Second Language Teacher
 - 1 Speech Pathologist
 - 4.5 Exceptional Children Teachers
 - 2 Academic Facilitators
 - 1 Talent Development Teacher
 - 1 Counselor
 - 29 Other

Student Demographics

- African-American..... **31.4%**
- White..... **47.6%**
- Hispanic..... **9.4%**
- Asian..... **2.3%**
- Other..... **9.4%**
- Economically..... **42.8%** disadvantaged students

Additional Facts*

- Per-pupil expenditure **\$5,412**
- Teacher-to-pupil ratio **1:19**
- Student-mobility rate **19%**

**Click here for more information.*

CONTACT INFORMATION

Principal

Gwen F. Shannon
email: g.shannon@cms.k12.nc.us

Zone: East

Zone Superintendent: Joel Ritchie
9601 E. Independence Boulevard
Matthews, NC 28105
980-343-1461
email: j.ritchie@cms.k12.nc.us

Lansdowne IB Elementary School

6400 Prett Court
Charlotte, NC 28270
980-343-6733

<http://schools.cms.k12.nc.us/lansdowneES>



► Lansdowne IB Elementary School

(Principal's message continued)

aimed at challenging teachers to employ strategies that support improved learning as measured by 21st-century standards of inquiry and research. We will continue to strengthen our professional knowledge by studying data and applying our findings so that we may address the individual needs of students. We will also introduce strategies that engage students in inquiry, research and critical thinking.

Last year, 33 percent of our teachers held advanced degrees and six other staff members are currently working to achieve them. Seventeen percent of teachers are National Board-certified and three others have begun the process for certification.

Lansdowne Elementary works diligently to provide a safe and nurturing environment. All staff and substitutes are fully trained on the procedures to secure the students. Last year's safety audit revealed a door that was not properly locked due to a key malfunction. All locks have been examined and repaired.

At Lansdowne, we view teaching as a collaboration between parents, teachers and students. A family-friendly atmosphere, high expectation for all students, universal commitment and hard work clearly define why so many families and staff members have remained at Lansdowne for so long.

We continue to embrace rigorous academic and character-development expectations, while providing a warm, caring environment that values cultural diversity. Applying the standards of the IB Programme will empower our students to become critical, independent thinkers prepared for an ever-changing global society. The commitment from all stakeholders will continue with renewed focus and energy.

Sincerely,
Gwen Shannon
Principal


[– Click to view our School Improvement Plan](#)

What is the School Progress Report?

In 2006, we introduced the *Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools Strategic Plan 2010* outlining our vision and strategy to educate our students to compete locally, nationally and internationally.

The plan outlines seven areas of concentration: high academic achievement; effective educators; adequate resources and facilities; safe and orderly schools; freedom and flexibility with accountability; world-class service, and strong parent and community connections. This report summarizes how our school performed in the 2009-10 school year in making progress on these seven goals. On the final page, you will also find an explanation of federal and state accountability measures.

Educators and parents have a common goal of preparing our children to compete in an ever-growing global community. Working together requires frequent dialogue between school and parents, so please feel free to contact the principal or any staff member at our school.



REACH FURTHER.



Lansdowne IB Elementary School

PROGRESS REPORT

CMS 2010 Strategic Plan Goals	Key Performance Measures	CMS 2010 Goal	CMS Elem. Schools 2009 - 2010	Our School 2008 - 2009	Our School 2009 - 2010
High Academic Achievement ▶ Because of federal privacy regulations, the actual values for these measures are not shown if the percentage is greater than 95 percent or less than 5 percent. Disparity between socioeconomic groups is shown as a positive percentage when non-economically disadvantaged students outscore economically disadvantaged students; a negative percentage indicates the reverse. <i>Data effective as of 8/27/10</i>	▶ Students performing at or above grade level overall ¹	—	75.2%	83.3%	82.8%
	▶ Students performing at or above grade level in reading	95%	70.2%	81.1%	80.4%
	▶ Students performing at or above grade level in math	88%	82.3%	89.8%	87%
	▶ Students performing at or above grade level in science	80%	68.6%	70%	76.5%
	Disparity between racial/ethnic groups in reading	10%	33.5%	24.8%	31.2%
	Disparity between racial/ethnic groups in math	10%	22.9%	21.3%	19.5%
	Disparity between racial/ethnic groups in science	10%	36.8%	44.1%	30.9%
	Disparity between socioeconomic groups in reading	10%	31%	22.4%	25.3%
	Disparity between socioeconomic groups in math	10%	19.1%	11.3%	15.4%
	Disparity between socioeconomic groups in science	10%	32.2%	30.3%	25.9%
Effective Educators Click to view teacher survey results	Teachers deemed highly qualified, having appropriate educational backgrounds and state certification(s) for the grade levels and subjects they are teaching	99%	100%	95%	100%
	National Board-certified teachers	18%	15%	10%	17%
	Teachers with graduate degrees	38%	38%	38%	33%
	Teachers' average years of experience	11.1	10.6	7.7	8.8
Adequate Resources & Facilities	Student/Computer Ratio	5:1	4:1	4:1	4:1
	Teachers who indicate satisfaction with school facilities	—	72.7%	—	63.8%
Safe & Orderly Schools	Passed the CMS safe school audit	100%	69%	YES	NO
	Parents who indicate this is a safe school ²	70%	96.8%	98.4%	93.5%
	Students who indicate this is a safe school ²	80%	91.8%	93.4%	88.3%
	Teachers who indicate this is a safe school ^{1,2}	—	90.4%	95.9%	90.8%
	Number of incidents resulting in in-school suspensions ^{1,3}	—	0.6	0.2	1.1
	Number of incidents resulting in out-of-school suspensions ^{1,3}	—	7.2	4.3	1.3
Freedom & Flexibility with Accountability	Principal has been granted additional freedom and flexibility ¹	—	56%	NO	YES
World-Class Service	Parents who indicate satisfaction with the responsiveness of staff at this school ²	80%	88.6%	100%	94.3%
Strong Parent & Community Connections Click to view parent survey results	Parents who indicate satisfaction overall with this school ^{1,2}	—	88.4%	96.6%	91%
	Number of volunteer hours ⁴	Increase by 25% district-wide	235	401	302
	Number of business and community partnerships	Increase by 25% district-wide	580	2	0

¹Not a CMS 2010 goal

²Based on responses to surveys; multiple survey items may have been used to create a composite

³Incidents per 100 students

⁴Per 100 students

CMS Accountability Measures

The learning of students in public education is measured in multiple ways. There are assessments given at regular intervals by CMS—tests that we use to measure how students are learning as the school year progresses. Other tests are required by the state and given once or twice a year, such as End-of-Course and End-of-Grade tests. Some of the state test results are also used to calculate district progress on the federal standard of Adequate Yearly Progress for each student. This page provides brief explanations of some of the measures and tests used in CMS.

▶ Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Our school **DID NOT** make AYP
(20 of 21 goals met)

The federal No Child Left Behind Act requires that every school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). AYP is an all-or-nothing standard: Every target must be met.

State test results are used to set AYP targets. There are separate targets for the school as a whole and for nine subgroups of students: white, black, Hispanic, Native American, Asian, multiracial, economically disadvantaged students, students with limited English proficiency and students with disabilities. Subgroups of students are measured separately so that an overall score for a class or a school does not mask poor results within a subgroup.

Some schools are more diverse than others, so the number of subgroups varies by school. Therefore, the number of AYP targets varies by school, too. Some schools may have only a few targets to meet; others may have the maximum, which is 41. School attendance and graduation rates are also measured for AYP.

For a more complete overview of AYP, visit www.dpi.state.nc.us/nclb/abcayp/overview/ayp

For details of AYP results for our school and its student groups, visit <http://ayp.ncpublicschools.org>

▶ ABC Designation

Our school's designation: **School of Distinction (DID meet growth expectations)**

Proficiency:	2008-09	2009-10
	83.3%	82.8%

The North Carolina ABCs program was introduced more than a decade ago to help schools improve. It uses two basic measures to assess a school's performance: the Average Growth of students (at right); and the Performance Composite, which measures how well students performed against a state-set standard of academic achievement.

Schools are given one of seven designations. In descending order, they are: Honor School of Excellence, School of Excellence, School of Distinction, School of Progress, No Recognition, Priority School, and Low Performing.

For more information about ABCs, visit <http://abcs.ncpublicschools.org/abcs>

▶ Average Growth

State Standard	Our School:	2008-09	2009-10
80		83.5	81.7

Did our students meet the state's expectation for academic growth? Each student is expected to perform as well (or better) on the End-of-Grade assessment as he or she did, on average, during the previous two years. Average Growth for a school is calculated by comparing actual performance to expected performance and then averaging the difference across all students and all subjects. The score above reflects the combined growth rate of all of our students. To make expected growth, a school must have a score of 80 or higher.

▶ Amount of Growth for Students in the Lowest-Achieving Group

Our School 2008-09: 81.6 **Our School 2009-10: 84.4**

Are we helping our low achievers catch up? Historically, some economically disadvantaged and minority students have trailed their classmates in academic achievement. This is called the achievement gap, and closing it is one of the biggest challenges in public education. If the lower-achieving students at a school show academic growth at a faster rate than higher-achieving students, the achievement gap is narrowed. When the score for this measure is higher than the Average Growth for the school, the school is closing the achievement gap.

▶ Students Making or Exceeding a Year's Worth of Growth

State Standard	Our School:	2008-09	2009-10
60%		65.4%	58%

Did our students learn as much as they were expected to learn this year? As described above, the state sets expectations for each student based on individual performance for the previous two years. Across the state, about half of students typically meet or exceed this growth expectation. To earn the high-growth designation, a school must meet the average-growth standard described above and also have more than 60% of its students make expected growth.

▶ Positive Responses to Student Survey Items

Our School's Results:	2008-09	2009-10
Safety	93.4%	88.3%
Encouragement	98.7%	97.7%
Engagement	96.9%	98.2%

Is our school environment conducive to learning? This measure is subjective—it is taken from a student survey.

[Click to view student survey results.](#)

▶ Return-on-Investment Index

CMS Elementary School Index: 50.9 **Our School Index: 52.9**



Return on Investment is calculated by comparing students' academic growth to the per-pupil expenditure. This measure is intended to help parents and community members understand how money is spent in our schools. [Click here for more information.](#)

For more information on our school's performance, visit <http://pmd.cms.k12.nc.us>