

► Providence Spring Elementary School



A Message from the Principal

To our Providence Spring Elementary School families:

This is the annual School Progress Report for Providence Spring Elementary. We are sending this to you so that you may be fully informed about our students' academic progress, our staff and our school environment.

Despite a challenging budgetary year and a reduction in the number of additional teachers we could hire, our students continued to do well on state-mandated tests. I am very proud to report that on the End-of-Grade tests last year, our composite proficiency rate among students in grades three through five was more than 95 percent.

Our students made High Growth, and we obtained the designation of Honor School of Excellence. At Providence Spring, however, test scores tell only part of a much larger story.

The 2009-2010 school year included many opportunities for students to develop their interests and talents by participating in programs such as Science Olympiad, in which our students won many awards; Quiz Bowl, where our students scored first in the Southeast; Mystery Class, where we achieved top honors, and Odyssey of the Mind, always a favorite of Providence Spring students. Through an ArtsTeach grant and the kind cooperation of the Light Factory, fifth-graders had the rare opportunity to work with a professional photographer. They studied imagery and the importance of symbolism, not only as it relates to their own photography, but also in relation to points of view expressed in literature and in Socratic seminar, an inquiry method that stimulates rational thinking. In addition, student writers, photographers and artists won numerous awards in the annual *Reflections* contest sponsored by the state PTA.

Adult learners were also spreading their intellectual wings. The year began with a collective study of the character trait of resilience, as

(Principal's message continued on next page)

2009-2010 KEY FACTS

Data effective as of spring 2010

Grades

K - 5

School Population

- Students: **854**
- Classroom Teachers: **41**
- Support Staff:
 - 1 Assistant Principal
 - 1 Speech Pathologist
 - 1.5 Exceptional Children Teachers
 - 1 Academic Facilitator
 - 2 Talent Development Teachers
 - 1 Counselor
 - 39 Other

Student Demographics

- African-American.....3.9%
- White.....82.2%
- Hispanic.....2.6%
- Asian.....8.8%
- Other.....2.6%
- Economically.....2.5% disadvantaged students

Additional Facts*

- Per-pupil expenditure \$4,716
- Teacher-to-pupil ratio 1:23
- Student-mobility rate.....6%

**Click here for more information.*

CONTACT INFORMATION

Principal

Diane F. Adams
email: diane.adams@cms.k12.nc.us

Zone: East

Zone Superintendent: Joel Ritchie
9601 E. Independence Boulevard
Matthews, NC 28105
980-343-1461
email: j.ritchie@cms.k12.nc.us

Providence Spring Elementary School

10045 Providence Church Lane
Charlotte, NC 28277
980-343-6935

<http://schools.cms.k12.nc.us/providencespringES>



► Providence Spring Elementary School

(Principal's message continued)

the faculty read *American Life Histories* written in the Depression era and cataloged in the Library of Congress. Reading the stories of ordinary men and women who did extraordinary things during the time of our country's Depression allowed us to reflect upon our opportunities to make great advances even in the light of the ongoing economic downturn. Advanced seminar training emphasized the importance of using the written word as a tool for reflection and personal growth. Faculty members earned advanced degrees, became certified or re-certified by the National Board and participated in the North Carolina Center for the Advancement of Teaching. They also spread their wings experientially as they traveled the world and were able to bring back a global perspective to share with their students right here on campus.

There is no doubt that Providence Spring will be faced with some daunting challenges this school year: shrinking budgets, shrinking personnel and shrinking resources. However, we will continue to benefit from the

three components that have defined excellence in our school since its beginnings: students ready and eager to learn, parents providing their talents and resources to benefit all in the school community and teachers and staff committed to providing an academic environment second to none for their students. With these factors working in our favor, our school will continue to be known as "Providence Spring, the place to be."

Sincerely,
Diane Adams
Principal

[– Click to view our School Quality Review](#)


[– Click to view our School Improvement Plan](#)

What is the School Progress Report?

In 2006, we introduced the *Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools Strategic Plan 2010* outlining our vision and strategy to educate our students to compete locally, nationally and internationally.

The plan outlines seven areas of concentration: high academic achievement; effective educators; adequate resources and facilities; safe and orderly schools; freedom and flexibility with accountability; world-class service, and strong parent and community connections. This report summarizes how our school performed in the 2009-10 school year in making progress on these seven goals. On the final page, you will also find an explanation of federal and state accountability measures.

Educators and parents have a common goal of preparing our children to compete in an ever-growing global community. Working together requires frequent dialogue between school and parents, so please feel free to contact the principal or any staff member at our school.



REACH FURTHER.



▶ Providence Spring Elementary School

PROGRESS REPORT

CMS 2010 Strategic Plan Goals	Key Performance Measures	CMS 2010 Goal	CMS Elem. Schools 2009 - 2010	Our School 2008 - 2009	Our School 2009 - 2010
<p>High Academic Achievement</p> <p>▶ Because of federal privacy regulations, the actual values for these measures are not shown if the percentage is greater than 95 percent or less than 5 percent.</p> <p>Disparity between socioeconomic groups is shown as a positive percentage when non-economically disadvantaged students outscore economically disadvantaged students; a negative percentage indicates the reverse.</p> <p><i>Data effective as of 8/27/10</i></p>	▶ Students performing at or above grade level overall ¹	—	75.2%	>95%	>95%
	▶ Students performing at or above grade level in reading	95%	70.2%	>95%	>95%
	▶ Students performing at or above grade level in math	88%	82.3%	>95%	>95%
	▶ Students performing at or above grade level in science	80%	68.6%	>95%	>95%
	Disparity between racial/ethnic groups in reading	10%	33.5%	1.4%	8.3%
	Disparity between racial/ethnic groups in math	10%	22.9%	0%	5.6%
	Disparity between racial/ethnic groups in science	10%	36.8%	0.8%	16.7%
	Disparity between socioeconomic groups in reading	10%	31%	-1.2%	-1.2%
	Disparity between socioeconomic groups in math	10%	19.1%	0%	-0.5%
	Disparity between socioeconomic groups in science	10%	32.2%	NA	NA
<p>Effective Educators</p> <p>Click to view teacher survey results</p>	Teachers deemed highly qualified, having appropriate educational backgrounds and state certification(s) for the grade levels and subjects they are teaching	99%	100%	100%	100%
	National Board-certified teachers	18%	15%	26%	26%
	Teachers with graduate degrees	38%	38%	26%	33%
	Teachers' average years of experience	11.1	10.6	11.4	12.6
<p>Adequate Resources & Facilities</p>	Student/Computer Ratio	5:1	4:1	4:1	3:1
	Teachers who indicate satisfaction with school facilities	—	72.7%	—	96.6%
<p>Safe & Orderly Schools</p>	Passed the CMS safe school audit	100%	69%	YES	NO
	Parents who indicate this is a safe school ²	70%	96.8%	96.7%	100%
	Students who indicate this is a safe school ²	80%	91.8%	97.4%	98.3%
	Teachers who indicate this is a safe school ^{1,2}	—	90.4%	99.6%	99.7%
	Number of incidents resulting in in-school suspensions ^{1,3}	—	0.6	0	0
	Number of incidents resulting in out-of-school suspensions ^{1,3}	—	7.2	0	0
<p>Freedom & Flexibility with Accountability</p>	Principal has been granted additional freedom and flexibility ¹	—	56%	YES	YES
<p>World-Class Service</p>	Parents who indicate satisfaction with the responsiveness of staff at this school ²	80%	88.6%	94.9%	96.1%
<p>Strong Parent & Community Connections</p> <p>Click to view parent survey results</p>	Parents who indicate satisfaction overall with this school ^{1,2}	—	88.4%	95.2%	96%
	Number of volunteer hours ⁴	Increase by 25% district-wide	235	712	736
	Number of business and community partnerships	Increase by 25% district-wide	580	4	8

¹Not a CMS 2010 goal

²Based on responses to surveys; multiple survey items may have been used to create a composite

³Incidents per 100 students

⁴Per 100 students

CMS Accountability Measures

The learning of students in public education is measured in multiple ways. There are assessments given at regular intervals by CMS—tests that we use to measure how students are learning as the school year progresses. Other tests are required by the state and given once or twice a year, such as End-of-Course and End-of-Grade tests. Some of the state test results are also used to calculate district progress on the federal standard of Adequate Yearly Progress for each student. This page provides brief explanations of some of the measures and tests used in CMS.

▶ Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Our school **DID** make AYP
(9 of 9 goals met)

The federal No Child Left Behind Act requires that every school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). AYP is an all-or-nothing standard: Every target must be met.

State test results are used to set AYP targets. There are separate targets for the school as a whole and for nine subgroups of students: white, black, Hispanic, Native American, Asian, multiracial, economically disadvantaged students, students with limited English proficiency and students with disabilities. Subgroups of students are measured separately so that an overall score for a class or a school does not mask poor results within a subgroup.

Some schools are more diverse than others, so the number of subgroups varies by school. Therefore, the number of AYP targets varies by school, too. Some schools may have only a few targets to meet; others may have the maximum, which is 41. School attendance and graduation rates are also measured for AYP.

For a more complete overview of AYP, visit www.dpi.state.nc.us/nclb/abcayp/overview/ayp

For details of AYP results for our school and its student groups, visit <http://ayp.ncpublicschools.org>

▶ ABC Designation

Our school's designation: **Honor School of Excellence (DID meet high growth expectations)**

Proficiency:	2008-09	2009-10
	99.4%	99.2%

The North Carolina ABCs program was introduced more than a decade ago to help schools improve. It uses two basic measures to assess a school's performance: the Average Growth of students (at right); and the Performance Composite, which measures how well students performed against a state-set standard of academic achievement.

Schools are given one of seven designations. In descending order, they are: Honor School of Excellence, School of Excellence, School of Distinction, School of Progress, No Recognition, Priority School, and Low Performing.

For more information about ABCs, visit <http://abcs.ncpublicschools.org/abcs>

▶ Average Growth

State Standard	Our School:	2008-09	2009-10
80		85.4	86

Did our students meet the state's expectation for academic growth? Each student is expected to perform as well (or better) on the End-of-Grade assessment as he or she did, on average, during the previous two years. Average Growth for a school is calculated by comparing actual performance to expected performance and then averaging the difference across all students and all subjects. The score above reflects the combined growth rate of all of our students. To make expected growth, a school must have a score of 80 or higher.

▶ Amount of Growth for Students in the Lowest-Achieving Group

Our School 2008-09: 85.2 **Our School 2009-10: 84.8**

Are we helping our low achievers catch up? Historically, some economically disadvantaged and minority students have trailed their classmates in academic achievement. This is called the achievement gap, and closing it is one of the biggest challenges in public education. If the lower-achieving students at a school show academic growth at a faster rate than higher-achieving students, the achievement gap is narrowed. When the score for this measure is higher than the Average Growth for the school, the school is closing the achievement gap.

▶ Students Making or Exceeding a Year's Worth of Growth

State Standard	Our School:	2008-09	2009-10
60%		72.1%	72.8%

Did our students learn as much as they were expected to learn this year? As described above, the state sets expectations for each student based on individual performance for the previous two years. Across the state, about half of students typically meet or exceed this growth expectation. To earn the high-growth designation, a school must meet the average-growth standard described above and also have more than 60% of its students make expected growth.

▶ Positive Responses to Student Survey Items


Our School's Results:	2008-09	2009-10
Safety	97.4%	98.3%
Encouragement	99%	100%
Engagement	98.7%	98.8%

Is our school environment conducive to learning? This measure is subjective—it is taken from a student survey.

[Click to view student survey results.](#)

▶ Return-on-Investment Index

CMS Elementary School Index: 50.9 **Our School Index: 67.8**



Return on Investment is calculated by comparing students' academic growth to the per-pupil expenditure. This measure is intended to help parents and community members understand how money is spent in our schools. [Click here for more information.](#)

For more information on our school's performance, visit <http://pmd.cms.k12.nc.us>