

# ▶ Turning Point Academy



## A Message from the Principal

To our Turning Point Academy families:

This is the annual School Progress Report for Turning Point Academy, formerly known as Derita Alternative School. The report is part of our efforts to keep you fully informed about our students' academic progress, our staff and our school environment.

I am pleased to report that Turning Point Academy made progress as measured by the End-of-Grade math, reading and science tests and the End-of-Course tests in English 1, Algebra 1, biology, U.S. history and civics and economics. As a result, our composite proficiency rate increased (although we did not reach required state and district standards) from 21.7 percent to 28.5 percent.

So what are we doing to improve our students' academic progress? Teachers will be trained in strategies designed to improve student learning. These include using computers and other learning aids, creating plans to meet students at their individual learning levels, raising teacher expectations for student achievement and working together to accommodate the needs of all students. We anticipate these strategies will help enhance instruction in all subject areas and improve students' skills.

Turning Point did not make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP), the standard set for schools by the No Child Left Behind Act. To make AYP, a school must meet all of its targets, and we met one of eight. We are addressing the areas needing improvement by hiring more instructional staff and providing additional training to teachers, particularly in analyzing data to drive instruction. We received a North Carolina Dropout Prevention Grant through the University of North Carolina to introduce an after-school literacy program for our middle school students. In addition, we are adjusting our schedule to provide tutoring during the school day, after school

*(Principal's message continued on next page)*

## 2009-2010 KEY FACTS

*Data effective as of spring 2010*

### Grades

K - 12

### School Population

- Students: **537**  
(Elementary 2, Middle 153, High 382)
- Classroom Teachers: **15**
- Support Staff:
  - 1 Assistant Principal
  - 0.5 English as a Second Language Teachers
  - 4 Exceptional Children Teachers
  - 2 Counselors
  - 25 Other

### Student Demographics

- African-American..... **81.6%**
- White..... **5%**
- Hispanic..... **9.3%**
- Asian..... **1.1%**
- Other..... **3%**
- Economically..... **79.7%**  
disadvantaged students

### Additional Facts\*

- Per-pupil expenditure ..... **\$10,839**
- Teacher-to-pupil ratio ..... **1:6**
- Student-mobility rate ..... **129%**

*\*Click here for more information.*

## CONTACT INFORMATION

### Principal

Valoria B. Burch  
email: [valoria.burch@cms.k12.nc.us](mailto:valoria.burch@cms.k12.nc.us)

**Zone:** Central Secondary  
Zone Superintendent: Denise Watts  
701 E. Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard  
Charlotte, NC 28202  
980-344-7160  
email: [denise.watts@cms.k12.nc.us](mailto:denise.watts@cms.k12.nc.us)

## Turning Point Academy

2300 W. Sugar Creek Road  
Charlotte, NC 28262  
980-343-5231

<http://schools.cms.k12.nc.us/turningpointAE>



# ▶ Turning Point Academy

*(Principal's message continued)*

and on Saturdays, as well as revising our school improvement plan to include strategies to combat deficiencies.

The Turning Point staff is highly qualified and includes 24 teachers, 95 percent of whom are certified, and two teacher assistants. We are fortunate to have added two additional teachers and an academic facilitator this school year. This strength and depth can only reinforce our entire program.

Turning Point made considerable progress last year in non-instructional areas. Our parent and community volunteer hours increased from 102 to 153 (per 100 students), and the number of parent workshops from three to eight. We introduced a variety of activities to build student character and social skills. These include mentoring, community service, motivational speakers/groups, leadership classes and field trips.

We also made improvement in behavioral areas. We received a Governor's Crime Commission grant

that allowed us to introduce a gang prevention and awareness program. In addition, the number of out-of-school suspensions decreased from 179 to 136.1 (per 100 students), thanks to our successful positive-behavior program. Furthermore, we had a perfect score on the safe-school audit.

I am confident we will see a vast improvement in student achievement, behavior and attendance. The staff of Turning Point Academy is optimistic and committed to promoting academic and behavioral success. It is our pledge to provide a safe and supportive environment that fosters respect, responsibility and pride in all students and staff.

Sincerely,  
Valoria Burch  
*Principal*

[– Click to view our School Quality Review](#)


[– Click to view our School Improvement Plan](#)

## What is the School Progress Report?

In 2006, we introduced the *Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools Strategic Plan 2010* outlining our vision and strategy to educate our students to compete locally, nationally and internationally.

The plan outlines seven areas of concentration: high academic achievement; effective educators; adequate resources and facilities; safe and orderly schools; freedom and flexibility with accountability; world-class service, and strong parent and community connections. This report summarizes how our school performed in the 2009-10 school year in making progress on these seven goals. On the final page, you will also find an explanation of federal and state accountability measures.

Educators and parents have a common goal of preparing our children to compete in an ever-growing global community. Working together requires frequent dialogue between school and parents, so please feel free to contact the principal or any staff member at our school.



# ▶ Turning Point Academy Middle School

## PROGRESS REPORT

CMS 2010 Strategic Plan Goals	Key Performance Measures	CMS 2010 Goal	CMS Middle Schools 2009 - 2010	Our School 2008 - 2009	Our School 2009 - 2010
<b>High Academic Achievement</b>  ▶ Because of federal privacy regulations, the actual values for these measures are not shown if the percentage is greater than 95 percent or less than 5 percent.  Disparity between socioeconomic groups is shown as a positive percentage when non-economically disadvantaged students outscore economically disadvantaged students; a negative percentage indicates the reverse.  <i>Data effective as of 8/27/10</i>	▶ Students performing at or above grade level overall <sup>1</sup>	—	75.5%	21.7%	<b>28.5%</b>
	▶ Students performing at or above grade level in reading	95%	69.9%	19.5%	<b>23.4%</b>
	▶ Students performing at or above grade level in math	88%	81%	25.9%	<b>26.8%</b>
	▶ Students performing at or above grade level in Algebra 1 <sup>1</sup>	—	>95%	—	<b>99.7%</b>
	▶ Students performing at or above grade level in science	80%	70.3%	19.5%	<b>23.2%</b>
	Disparity between racial/ethnic groups in reading	10%	33.8%	62.1%	<b>7.5%</b>
	Disparity between racial/ethnic groups in math	10%	24.2%	54.9%	<b>20.7%</b>
	Disparity between racial/ethnic groups in science	10%	37.8%	54.2%	<b>1%</b>
	Disparity between socioeconomic groups in reading	10%	31%	6.5%	<b>8.9%</b>
	Disparity between socioeconomic groups in math	10%	20.7%	5.8%	<b>23.1%</b>
Disparity between socioeconomic groups in science	10%	31.4%	16.6%	<b>6%</b>	
<b>Effective Educators</b>  <a href="#">Click to view teacher survey results</a>	Teachers deemed highly qualified, having appropriate educational backgrounds and state certification(s) for the grade levels and subjects they are teaching	98%	99%	95%	<b>95%</b>
	National Board-certified teachers	17%	10%	0%	<b>0%</b>
	Teachers with graduate degrees	37%	35%	25%	<b>26%</b>
	Teachers' average years of experience	11.1	10	10.9	<b>12.1</b>
<b>Adequate Resources &amp; Facilities</b>	Student/Computer Ratio	5:1	3:1	3:1	<b>5:1</b>
	Teachers who indicate satisfaction with school facilities	—	70.7%	—	<b>61.2%</b>
<b>Safe &amp; Orderly Schools</b>	Passed the CMS safe school audit	100%	97%	YES	<b>YES</b>
	Parents who indicate this is a safe school <sup>2</sup>	70%	93.4%	Insufficient Response	Insufficient Response
	Students who indicate this is a safe school <sup>2</sup>	80%	85.3%	Not Collected	Not Collected
	Teachers who indicate this is a safe school <sup>1,2</sup>	—	84.1%	86.2%	<b>82.8%</b>
	Number of incidents resulting in in-school suspensions <sup>1,3</sup>	—	33.6	5.4	<b>12.5</b>
	Number of incidents resulting in out-of-school suspensions <sup>1,3</sup>	—	42	179	<b>136.1</b>
<b>Freedom &amp; Flexibility with Accountability</b>	Principal has been granted additional freedom and flexibility <sup>1</sup>	—	64%	NO	<b>NO</b>
<b>World-Class Service</b>	Parents who indicate satisfaction with the responsiveness of staff at this school <sup>2</sup>	80%	79.5%	Insufficient Response	Insufficient Response
<b>Strong Parent &amp; Community Connections</b>  <a href="#">Click to view parent survey results</a>	Parents who indicate satisfaction overall with this school <sup>1,2</sup>	—	78.2%	Insufficient Response	Insufficient Response
	Number of volunteer hours <sup>4</sup>	Increase by 25% district-wide	204	102	<b>153</b>
	Number of business and community partnerships	Increase by 25% district-wide	87	0	<b>0</b>

<sup>1</sup> Not a CMS 2010 goal

<sup>3</sup> Incidents per 100 students

<sup>2</sup> Based on responses to surveys; multiple survey items may have been used to create a composite

<sup>4</sup> Per 100 students

## CMS Accountability Measures

The learning of students in public education is measured in multiple ways. There are assessments given at regular intervals by CMS—tests that we use to measure how students are learning as the school year progresses. Other tests are required by the state and given once or twice a year, such as End-of-Course and End-of-Grade tests. Some of the state test results are also used to calculate district progress on the federal standard of Adequate Yearly Progress for each student. This page provides brief explanations of some of the measures and tests used in CMS.

### ▶ Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Our school **DID NOT** make AYP  
(1 of 8 goals met)

The federal No Child Left Behind Act requires that every school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). AYP is an all-or-nothing standard: Every target must be met.

State test results are used to set AYP targets. There are separate targets for the school as a whole and for nine subgroups of students: white, black, Hispanic, Native American, Asian, multiracial, economically disadvantaged students, students with limited English proficiency and students with disabilities. Subgroups of students are measured separately so that an overall score for a class or a school does not mask poor results within a subgroup.

Some schools are more diverse than others, so the number of subgroups varies by school. Therefore, the number of AYP targets varies by school, too. Some schools may have only a few targets to meet; others may have the maximum, which is 41. School attendance and graduation rates are also measured for AYP.

For a more complete overview of AYP, visit [www.dpi.state.nc.us/nclb/abcayp/overview/ayp](http://www.dpi.state.nc.us/nclb/abcayp/overview/ayp)

For details of AYP results for our school and its student groups, visit <http://ayp.ncpublicschools.org>

### ▶ ABC Designation

Our school's designation: **NA**  
(**DID meet growth expectations**)

Proficiency:	2008-09	2009-10
	21.7%	28.5%

The North Carolina ABCs program was introduced more than a decade ago to help schools improve. It uses two basic measures to assess a school's performance: the Average Growth of students (at right); and the Performance Composite, which measures how well students performed against a state-set standard of academic achievement.

Schools are given one of seven designations. In descending order, they are: Honor School of Excellence, School of Excellence, School of Distinction, School of Progress, No Recognition, Priority School, and Low Performing.

For more information about ABCs, visit <http://abcs.ncpublicschools.org/abcs>

### ▶ Average Growth

State Standard	Our School:	2008-09	2009-10
80		73.4	71.5

Did our students meet the state's expectation for academic growth? Each student is expected to perform as well (or better) on the End-of-Grade assessment as he or she did, on average, during the previous two years. Average Growth for a school is calculated by comparing actual performance to expected performance and then averaging the difference across all students and all subjects. The score above reflects the combined growth rate of all of our students. To make expected growth, a school must have a score of 80 or higher.

### ▶ Amount of Growth for Students in the Lowest-Achieving Group

Our School 2008-09: 73.4      **Our School 2009-10: 82**

Are we helping our low achievers catch up? Historically, some economically disadvantaged and minority students have trailed their classmates in academic achievement. This is called the achievement gap, and closing it is one of the biggest challenges in public education. If the lower-achieving students at a school show academic growth at a faster rate than higher-achieving students, the achievement gap is narrowed. When the score for this measure is higher than the Average Growth for the school, the school is closing the achievement gap.

### ▶ Students Making or Exceeding a Year's Worth of Growth

State Standard	Our School:	2008-09	2009-10
60%		27.7%	28.3%

Did our students learn as much as they were expected to learn this year? As described above, the state sets expectations for each student based on individual performance for the previous two years. Across the state, about half of students typically meet or exceed this growth expectation. To earn the high-growth designation, a school must meet the average-growth standard described above and also have more than 60% of its students make expected growth.

### ▶ Positive Responses to Student Survey Items

Our School's Results:	2008-09	2009-10
Safety	Not collected	<b>Not collected</b>
Encouragement	Not collected	<b>Not collected</b>
Engagement	Not collected	<b>Not collected</b>

Is our school environment conducive to learning? This measure is subjective—it is taken from a student survey.

[Click to view student survey results.](#)

### ▶ Return-on-Investment Index

CMS Middle School Index: 59.4      **Our School Index: 43.7**



Return on Investment is calculated by comparing students' academic growth to the per-pupil expenditure. This measure is intended to help parents and community members understand how money is spent in our schools. [Click here for more information.](#)

For more information on our school's performance, visit <http://pmd.cms.k12.nc.us>